### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the: INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORIT	ГУ	.*			
To:		PCT			
Madderns					
1st Floor Wolf Blass House		WDF.	PTENI ADDITIONI AE TITE		
64 Hindmarsh Square			ITEN OPINION OF THE NAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
ADELAIDE SA 5000		I III			
		(PCT Rule 43bis.1)			
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	1 8 OCT 2004  FION See paragraph 2 below		
Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER ACT	FION See personnel 2 helow		
23063PCT					
International application No.	International filing date	e (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)  8 August 2003		
PCT/AU2004/001054	9 August 2004		8 August 2003		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or Int. Cl. H03M 13/47; H04 B 7/14	both national classific	ation and IPC	$\mathcal{O}$		
Applicant			<u>Q</u>		
CLIPSAL INTEGRATED SYST	TEMS PTY LTD et	al	<b>₽</b>		
1. This opinion contains indications rela	ting to the following it	ems:			
X Box No. I Basis of the opinion	n				
Box No. II Priority	, ,		·		
	of opinion with regard to	novelty inventive sten a	and industrial applicability		
		, novemy, inventive step a	and incustrate approaching		
<u>~</u>		No. 141	tire stor or industrial ambienkiliten		
citations and explan	nations supporting such s		nventive step or industrial applicability;		
X Box No. VI Certain documents					
Box No. VII Certain defects in t	he international application	on			
Box No. VIII Certain observation	ns on the international app	plication			
2. FURTHER ACTION					
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.					
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.					
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.					
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU		Authorized Officer			
AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE					
PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRAL	LIA	BEN TUOHY			
E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929		Telephone No. (02) 6283 7918			

## BEST AVAILABLE COP

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/001054

Box	No. I	Basis of the opinion
1.		rd to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in ras filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	the f	opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into ollowing language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of national search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.		rd to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the vention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type of	f material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. format	of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. time of	f filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
	لي	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.  furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	filed	dition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Additional	comments:
		•
٠		·
	•	

## SEST AVAILABLE COP

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/001054

Вох	No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
1.	X In re	sponse to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has:
	X	paid additional fees
		paid additional fees under protest
		not paid additional fees
2.		Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the icant to pay additional fees.
3.	This Autho	rity considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
	com	plied with
	X not c	omplied with for the following reasons:
one	invention o	al application does not comply with the requirements of unity of invention because it does not relate to or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept. In coming to this International Searching Authority has found that there are two inventions:
1.	coded so a	-41, 43 – 46, 48 – 50 and 52 – 60 are directed to a radio communications system including data frames s to be perceived by the device receiving the data frame, as a collision when the device is already lata from another source. It is considered that the detection of a collision comprises a first "special leature".
2.	repeater lo the repeate intermedia	, 47 and 51 are directed to a radio communications system including at least two transceivers and a cated intermediate the transceivers such that upon receipt of a data transmission from a first transceiver, or re-transmits the data transmission from the first transceiver. It is considered that a repeater located te the transceivers such that upon receipt of a data transmission from a first transceiver, the repeater rehe data transmission from the first transceiver comprises a second "special technical feature".
rela	tionship" be	e mentioned groups of claims do not share either of the technical features identified, a "technical etween the inventions, as defined in PCT rule 13.2 does not exist. Accordingly the international s not relate to one invention or to a single inventive concept.
4.	Consequently	y, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
	X all pa	arts
	the p	arts relating to claims Nos.

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/001054

Box No. V			43bis.1(a)(f) with regard to novelty, inventive nations supporting such statement	step or industrial
1. Statement	•			·
No	velty (N)	Claims	1 - 41, 43 - 46, 48 - 50, 52 - 60	YES
		Claims	42, 47, 51	NO
Inv	entive step (IS)	Claims	1-41, 43-46, 48-50, 52-60	YES
		Claims	42, 47, 51	NO
Ind	ustrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1 – 60	YES
		Claims	None	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Documents cited for the purpose of compiling this report:

(D1) JP 2001-231078 A (NIPPON TELEGRAPH & TELEPHONE CORP.) 24 August 2001 See Whole document (English translation sourced from JP web site: http://www19.ipdl.jpo.go.jp/PA1/cgibin/PA1INIT?); and

(D2) US 5040175 A (TUCH et al.) 13 August 1991, see whole document particularly columns 3 – 7.

### NOVELTY (N) claims 42, 47 and 51

- 1. Claims 42 and 47 are not novel in light of prior art document D1 which discloses all of the features defined in the claims. D1 discloses wireless data packet communications system in which includes at least two transceivers and a repeater. The repeater receives data from one of the transceivers and then transmits a RTS packet (repeater flag or data sequence). As a result of the transmission of the RTS packet the transceivers suspend data packet transmitting preparation (suspend further action) in preparation for the reception of the data forwarded on by the repeater.
- 2. Claim 51 is not novel in light of prior art document D2 which discloses all of the features defined in the claims. D2 discloses wireless information transmission system which includes a distribution system (repeater) and a number of transceiver stations. If the distribution system receives two information packets to the at the same time an information packet collision occurs (incomplete data reception or transmission by the repeater) the distribution system then transmits a collision acknowledgment packet (data sequence) instructing each transceiver station to terminate message transmission therefore ignoring the transmission in progress.

### INVENTIVE STEP (IS) claims 42, 47 and 51

3. Claims 42, 47 and 51 do not involve an inventive step in light of prior art documents D1 and D2 as described in novelty objections 1 and 2 above.

# BEST AVAILABLE COPY

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/001054

Box	k No. VI	Certain documents	cited		
1.	Certain publi	ished documents (Rule	es 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 70.10)		
	Application Patent	on No. No.	Publication date (day/month/year)	Filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (valid claim) (day/month/year)
	WO 2004/03	34310 A2	22 April 2004	7 October 2003	8 October 2002
					·
wc	2004/0343	10 discloses all of th	ne features defined in clair	ns 1, 2, 3, 8 and 12.	
				•	
2.		disclosures (Rules 43)			TO 4 Control March Street
	Kind of no	n-written disclosure	Date of non-writ (day/mont		Date of written disclosure referring to non-written disclosure (day/month/year)
-			•		(uuymonauyeur)
			•		
			•		
		•			•
	•				